

ENVIRONMENTAL

LAW AND POLICY

Instructor: Ma. Sc. Dinh Thi Thuy Hang



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union





Warm-up questions

Suggest an environmental policy which is being applied in your country?

Why is such policy issued?

Evaluate the extent of success of such policy?

What should be done more?

Recommend some instruments to policy makers?



INTRODUCTION OF

ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY

INSTRUMENTS CHOICE IN ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY

3

Instructor: Ma.Sc. Dinh Thi Thuy Hang

The four principles to select instrument for a policy maker





1. Cost-Effectiveness of Alternative Emissions Control Instruments

- Minimizing the cost of reducing pollution by a given targeted amount requires equating marginal abatement costs across all potential options and agents for emissions reduction, including:
 - the various abatement channels available to an individual firm or facility: namely, switching to cleaner inputs or fuels, installing abatement capital (e.g., post-combustion scrubbers), and reducing the overall scale of production.
 - firms or facilities within a production sector which may face very different costs of abatement and existing emissions intensities.
 - o production sectors, such as manufacturing and power generation.
 - households and firms, where household options might include reducing automobile use or purchasing more energy-efficient appliances or vehicles.
 - \rightarrow In theory, these conditions are satisfied when all economic actors face a common price, at the margin, for their contributions to emissions.



1. Cost-Effectiveness of Alternative Emissions Control Instruments

- Maximizing cost-effectiveness requires that all agents face the same price on emissions.
 - In reality, environmental regulations are rarely comprehensive enough to apply a given emissions price to all economic sectors or agents.
 - Instruments whose main purpose is curbing emissions or effluent:
 - incentive-based instruments
 - direct regulatory instruments



Incentive-Based Instruments

Emissions taxes and tradable allowance systems

- Imposes a single emissions price on all covered sources.
- An additional unit of emissions implies a cost equal to the allowance price, since it compels the agent either to purchase one extra allowance or to sell one fewer.
- As under the emissions tax, both the costs of abatement and the emissions price are reflected in higher prices of consumer products.

Subsidies to pollution abatement

- Firms are rewarded for every unit of emissions that they reduce below some baseline level.
- Every additional unit of emissions implies a cost to the firm in forgone subsidy receipts.
- Regulators would need to make the marginal price of emissions higher than under the other policies → too much abatement from input substitution or endof-pipe treatment, and too little from reduced output → higher aggregate costs of achieving a given emissions target.



Incentive-Based Instruments

Taxes on inputs or goods associated with emissions

- Taxes on gasoline, electricity, or air travel are examples.
- These taxes may be an attractive option when it is difficult to monitor emissions directly.
- However, because these taxes do not focus sharply on the externality, they do not engage all of the pollution reduction channels described above, implying a loss of cost-effectiveness.

What do we pay for in a gallon of Regular Grade gasoline?



Source: U.S. Energy Information Administration.



Direct Regulatory Instruments

Technology mandates

- The mandate may require that firms install equipment that implies a particular production method.
- The technology mandate does not optimally engage all of the major pollution reduction channels.
- Moreover, it will not reflect the cost of the remaining pollution associated with each unit of output → do not cause firms to reduce pollution sufficiently through reductions in the scale of output.

Performance standards

- While technology mandates impose requirements directly on the production process, performance standards require that a firm's *output* meet certain conditions.
- Examples include maximum emission rates per kilowatt-hour of electricity, energy efficiency standards for buildings or household appliances, and fuel-economy requirements for new cars.
- Performance standards grant firms flexibility in choosing how to meet the standard.



2. Minimization of risk in the presence of uncertainty

- Uncertainties are unavoidable: policymakers can never perfectly predict the outcome of environmental policies.
- This is relevant to instrument choice, since the choice of instrument affects both the type of uncertainty that emerges as well as the expected efficiency gains generated.





3. Distributional equity

- Distribution between owners of polluting enterprises and other economic actors.
- Distribution across household income groups





4. Political feasibility and enforceability

- Environmental problems are often addressed by several different jurisdictions and multiple levels of government.
- If political constraints force environmental policies to be made by governments whose jurisdictions are narrower than what is efficient, the situation can be improved through linkages across regional programs.
 - For example, the cost-effectiveness of various governments' cap-and- trade systems to reduce greenhouse gases can be enhanced by linking the systems, as this yields a broader market and an equating of marginal abatement costs across regions.





 Assign comanagement team;

- Divide in groups of 4-5 people;
- Each member in a group will issue specific policies aiming at reducing water pollution from industrial sector;
- Work with your partners to vote the most practicable and feasible policy;
- Analyze the selected policy in terms of advantages and challenges when applied.



Group work



Goulder and Parry's conclusions on instrument choice

- > No single instrument is clearly superior along all the dimensions relevant to policy choice.
- Significant trade-offs arise in the choice of instrument. In particular, assuring a reasonable degree of fairness in the distribution of impacts, or ensuring political feasibility, often will require a sacrifice of cost-effectiveness.
- It is sometimes desirable to design hybrid instruments that combine features of various instruments in their "pure" form.
- For many pollution problems, more than one market failure may be involved, which may justify (on efficiency grounds, at least) employing more than one instrument.
- Potential interactions among environmental policy instruments are a matter of concern, as are possible adverse interactions between policies simultaneously pursued by separate jurisdictions.

Thanks for your listening



ANY QUESTION?